

# TEHAMA WEST WATERSHED ASSESSMENT

---

*Prepared for*

**TEHAMA COUNTY  
RESOURCE CONSERVATION DISTRICT**

**APRIL 2006**

**VESTRA**



# TEHAMA WEST WATERSHED ASSESSMENT

*Prepared for*

**Tehama County Resource Conservation District**

*Prepared by*



**VESTRA Resources, Inc.  
962 Maraglia St.  
Redding, California 96002**

**APRIL 2006**

## Section 1

### INTRODUCTION

SOURCES OF DATA.....	1-1
OBJECTIVES .....	1-1
SCOPE.....	1-1
FUNDING SOURCES .....	1-2
TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE (TAC) MEMBERS .....	1-2
WATERSHED INTRODUCTION .....	1-2
Sub-Units .....	1-3
Ownership .....	1-3
Topography .....	1-4
Elevation.....	1-5
INTERVIEWS .....	1-5
REFERENCES .....	1-5

### TABLES

1-1	Sub-units of the Tehama West Watershed .....	1-4
1-2	Land Ownership in the Tehama West Watershed.....	1-4
1-3	USGS 7.5 Minute Quadrangles.....	1-5

### FIGURES

1-1	General Watershed Location
1-2	Watershed Boundary
1-3	Sub-units
1-4	Ownership
1-5	Topography
1-6	USGS 7.5 Minute Quadrangles
1-7	Elevation Bands

### APPENDICES

1-1	Resident Interviews
1-2	Stream Reach Photographic Assessment

## Section 2

### GENERAL HISTORY

SOURCES OF DATA.....	2-1
NATIVE PEOPLE .....	2-1
EUROPEAN EXPLORATION.....	2-3
Mexican Land Grants .....	2-4
Gold Rush Era .....	2-4
HISTORY OF TRANSPORTATION.....	2-4

SETTLEMENT HISTORY.....	2-5
Corning.....	2-5
Red Bluff.....	2-7
FARMING.....	2-8
Number of Farms.....	2-8
Cropland.....	2-9
Livestock Production.....	2-10
Grazing.....	2-11
Timber.....	2-13
HISTORY OF WATER DEVELOPMENT.....	2-14
REFERENCES.....	2-15

## TABLES

2-1	Agricultural Acreage Comparison, 1950-2000.....	2-8
-----	---	-----

## FIGURES

2-1	Early County Boundary Changes
2-2	Average Farm Size, 1880-2002
2-3	Total Farm Acreage, 1910-2002
2-4	Orchard and Cropland Acreage Trends, 1950-2002
2-5	Major Crop Types, 1950-2003
2-6	Grain Production, 1960-2002
2-7	Hay Production, 1950-2003
2-8	Rice Production, 1982-2003
2-9	Orchard Production, 1965-2003
2-10	Livestock Trends, 1919-2002
2-11	Vegetation Change Over Time
2-12	Timber Production, 1980-2003
2-13	Central Valley Project

## Section 3

### DEMOGRAPHICS, LAND USE, AND ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

SOURCES OF DATA.....	3-1
CITIES.....	3-1
Red Bluff.....	3-1
Corning.....	3-2
City of Tehama.....	3-2
CURRENT LAND OWNERSHIP.....	3-2
GENERAL PLAN.....	3-2
EXISTING ZONING.....	3-5
POPULATION.....	3-7
LAND USE.....	3-10
Agricultural Resources.....	3-10

Economic Agricultural Conditions .....	3-15
Conservation Easements and Programs .....	3-18
Farmland Mapping Program .....	3-18
Mineral and Aggregate Resources .....	3-20
RECREATION .....	3-22
LAND USE REGULATIONS.....	3-23
Federal.....	3-23
State .....	3-24
AGENCIES WITH PERMITTING AUTHORITY .....	3-28
DATA GAPS.....	3-28
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	3-28
REFERENCES .....	3-31

## TABLES

3-1	Land Ownership in the Tehama West Watershed.....	3-3
3-2	Draft General Plan Designations .....	3-3
3-3	Zoning Districts.....	3-5
3-4	Tehama County Population Change.....	3-7
3-5	Historic Construction of Dwelling Units, Tehama County .....	3-8
3-6	Total Housing Units (by Type), Tehama County .....	3-9
3-7	County Population by Race.....	3-9
3-8	Crop Type Acreages .....	3-12
3-9	Farm by Size, 1987-2002.....	3-12
3-10	Agricultural Acreage Comparison, 1950-2000.....	3-13
3-11	Economic Characteristics of Farms, 1987-2002 .....	3-16
3-12	Agricultural Commodity Value Comparison Summary (\$), 1999-2003.....	3-16
3-13	Top Ten Cultivated Agricultural Commodities, 2003.....	3-17
3-14	Top Ten Agricultural Commodities, 2003 .....	3-17
3-15	Change by Land Use, County Only .....	3-19
3-16	Permit-Issuing Agencies .....	3-29

## FIGURES

3-1	Incorporated Cities
3-2	General Ownership
3-3	Draft General Plan Designations
3-4	Zoning Districts
3-5	1990 and 2000 Population Densities
3-6	Historical Population Data
3-7	DWR Land Use
3-8	Tehama County Land Use
3-9	Proposed Areas of Future Development
3-10	Agricultural Lands
3-11	Major Crop Types, 1950-2003
3-12	Grain Production, 1960-2002
3-13	Rice Production, 1982-2003
3-14	Orchard Production, 1965-2003

3-15	Crop Types
3-16	Irrigated Pasture Production, 1958-2003
3-17	Livestock Production, 1919-2002
3-18	Timber Production, 1980-2003
3-19	Agriculture Commodity Value Trends, 1994-2003
3-20	Conservation Easements
3-21	Farmland Mapping Program
3-22	Agricultural Lands Converted to Urban Lands
3-23	Gravel Mining Operations

## Section 4

### **GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

SOURCES OF DATA.....	4-1
HISTORICAL CONTEXT .....	4-1
AREA OVERVIEW .....	4-2
MAJOR LANDFORMS.....	4-2
GEOLOGY.....	4-2
Significant Geologic Units of the Coast Range Province .....	4-3
Significant Geologic Units of the Great Valley Province .....	4-3
SOIL TYPES.....	4-6
Columbia-Vina.....	4-6
Maywood-Tehama.....	4-6
Corning-Redding .....	4-7
Newville-Dibble.....	4-8
Millsholm-Lodo .....	4-8
Toomes-Guenoc .....	4-8
Maymen-Los Gatos-Parrish.....	4-9
Henneke-Stonyford .....	4-9
SOIL CAPABILITIES.....	4-10
EROSION POTENTIAL.....	4-12
DATA GAPS.....	4-13
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	4-13
REFERENCES .....	4-13

### **TABLES**

4-1	Percent Soil Type.....	4-10
4-2	Soil Capability Classifications by Soil Association.....	4-12

### **FIGURES**

4-1	Geologic Provinces
4-2	Geology
4-3	Faults
4-4	STATSGO Soil Types

**Section 5  
CLIMATE**

SOURCES OF DATA ..... 5-1  
HISTORICAL CONTEXT ..... 5-1  
PRECIPITATION ..... 5-2  
TEMPERATURES ..... 5-3  
EVAPOTRANSPIRATION..... 5-3  
WIND SPEED AND DIRECTION..... 5-4  
DEGREE DAYS ..... 5-4  
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 5-4  
REFERENCES ..... 5-5

**TABLES**

5-1 Climate Data Sources ..... 5-2  
5-2 Monthly Precipitation Summary: Red Bluff NCDC Station 047292 ..... 5-3  
5-3 Monthly Temperature Summary: Red Bluff NCDC Station 047292..... 5-4

**FIGURES**

5-1 Climate Data Stations  
5-2 Volunteer Climate Data Stations  
5-3 Historical Precipitation and Climate Records  
5-4 Average Monthly Precipitation  
5-5 Isohyetal Map  
5-6 Average Monthly Temperatures  
5-7 Reference Evapotranspiration  
5-8 Wind Rose  
5-9 Growing Degree Days

**Section 6  
HYDROLOGY, FLOODING, AND FLUVIAL GEOMORPHOLOGY**

SOURCES OF DATA..... 6-1  
SURFACE WATER HYDROLOGY..... 6-2  
    Reference Conditions ..... 6-3  
    Surface Water Runoff ..... 6-3  
    Flood History ..... 6-5  
    Jurisdictional Dams..... 6-7  
    Water Rights ..... 6-7  
    Surface Water Use..... 6-9  
GROUNDWATER..... 6-11

Groundwater Basins.....	6-11
Hydrogeology.....	6-12
Groundwater Recharge and Discharge.....	6-14
Groundwater Use.....	6-15
Groundwater Levels.....	6-15
<b>GEOMORPHOLOGY.....</b>	<b>6-16</b>
Channel Characteristics.....	6-16
Channel Slope.....	6-18
Disturbances and Perturbations.....	6-19
Mass Wasting.....	6-19
Roads.....	6-22
Fire.....	6-22
<b>DATA GAPS.....</b>	<b>6-22</b>
<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....</b>	<b>6-23</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>6-23</b>

## **TABLES**

6-1	Watershed Sub-Units .....	6-3
6-2	Annual Mean Stream Flow – United States Geological Survey.....	6-4
6-3	Monthly Stream Flow.....	6-5
6-4	Annual Peak Flows – Return Period > 5 Years .....	6-6
6-5	Appropriative Water Rights Holders.....	6-8
6-6	2000 Surface Water and Groundwater Use .....	6-10
6-7	Tehama West Groundwater Basins .....	6-12
6-8	Channel Slope Ranges, Response Potentials and Typical Bed Morphologies.....	6-18
6-9	Active Landslide Area – Thomes Creek Watershed.....	6-21

## **FIGURES**

6-1	General Location
6-2	Major Tributaries
6-3	Sub-Units
6-4	Precipitation Isohyetals
6-5a	Mean Annual Flows – Thomes Creek at Paskenta 1921-1995
6-5b	Mean Annual Flows – Elder Creek Near Paskenta 1949-2002
6-5c	Mean Annual Flows – Red Bank Creek Near Red Bluff Station
6-6a	Mean Monthly Flows – Thomes Creek at Paskenta 1920-1996
6-6b	Mean Monthly Flows – Elder Creek Near Paskenta 1948-2003
6-6c	Mean Monthly Flows – Red Bank Creek Near Red Bluff 1959-1982
6-7	Mean Monthly Flows – Sacramento River at the Bend Above Red Bluff 1891-2004
6-8	Peak Annual Flow Return Period – Thomes Creek
6-9	Flood Inundation Zones
6-10	CDM Inventory Units
6-11	Groundwater Basins
6-12	Groundwater Hydrograph – Well 27N04W35E001M
6-13	Groundwater Hydrograph – Well 26N03W21P001M
6-14	Fall 2004 Groundwater Elevations



6-15	Spring 2005 Groundwater Elevations
6-16	Longitudinal Profile – Thomes Creek
6-17	Longitudinal Profile – Red Bank Creek
6-18	Longitudinal Profile – Reeds Creek
6-19	Channel Slopes

**Section 7**  
**WATER QUALITY**

SOURCES OF DATA.....	7-1
HISTORICAL CONTEXT .....	7-2
WATER QUALITY STANDARDS .....	7-3
Drinking Water Standards, Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs).....	7-7
Maximum Contaminant Level Goals (MCL Goals or MCLs).....	7-8
California Public Health Goals (PHGs) .....	7-8
California State Action Levels.....	7-8
Drinking Water Health Advisories and Water Quality Advisories .....	7-8
Proposition 65 Safe Harbor Levels .....	7-8
California Toxics Rule (CTR) and National Toxics Rule (NTR) Criteria .....	7-9
National Ambient Water Quality Criteria.....	7-9
Agricultural Water Quality Limits .....	7-9
SURFACE WATER QUALITY .....	7-9
Department of Water Resources, (DWR).....	7-10
US Geological Survey (USGS) .....	7-10
CSUC Sediment Budget .....	7-11
DWR 1982 .....	7-12
United States Forest Service (USFS) .....	7-13
Crane Mills Temperature Data .....	7-13
Surface Water Quality Summary .....	7-13
GROUNDWATER QUALITY .....	7-21
USGS Groundwater Data.....	7-22
DWR Groundwater Data.....	7-22
RWQCB GeoTracker .....	7-22
Groundwater Quality Summary.....	7-24
WATER QUALITY ISSUES.....	7-24
Ag Waivers.....	7-24
Landslides.....	7-26
Pesticide Use .....	7-26
Municipal Stormwater Runoff.....	7-37
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	7-30
REFERENCES .....	7-35

**TABLES**

7-1	Beneficial Uses for Sacramento River and Thomes Creek.....	7-4
7-2	Water Quality Objectives for the Sacramento River Within the Watershed .....	7-7
7-3	Nine DWR Stations in Tehama West Watershed.....	7-10

7-4	Seven USGS Stations in Tehama West Watershed.....	7-11
7-5	DWR Station Information – Dissolved Analytes with Sample Results Exceeding the Reporting Limit (>RL) <u>OR</u> With Maximum Results >0.....	7-14
7-6	DWR Station Information – Non-Dissolved Analytes with Sample Results Exceeding the Reporting Limit (>RL) <u>OR</u> with Maximum Results >0.....	7-15
7-7	DWR Station Information – Analytes with Sample Results Exceeding the Reporting Limit (>RL) by Analyte.....	7-16
7-8	DWR Station Information – Analytes with Sample Results Exceeding the Reporting Limit (>RL) by Station.....	7-17
7-9	USGS Station Information – Analytes with Sample Results Exceeding the Reporting Limit (>RL) <u>OR</u> with Maximum Results >0.....	7-18
7-10	USGS Station Information – Parameters Exceeding the Reporting Limit (>RL).....	7-20
7-11	USGS Station Information – Parameters Exceeding the Reporting Limit (>RL) by Station.....	7-20
7-12	Potential Sources and Causes of Water Quality Impairment.....	7-21
7-13	USGS Groundwater Quality Data, Multiple Locations.....	7-23
7-14	DWR Groundwater Quality Data, Multiple Locations.....	7-23
7-15	GeoTracker Site Summary.....	7-24
7-16	Exceedances for Toxicity Burch Creek at Woodson Ave.....	7-26
7-17	Trends in Shasta/Tehama Subwatersheds.....	7-26
7-18	Applicable Water Quality Objectives and Method Detection Limits for Analytes Monitored in the Agricultural Waiver Program at the Burch Creek Site.....	7-28
7-19	Pesticide Use by Sub-Unit.....	7-29
7-20	Irrigated Acres by Sub-Unit.....	7-29
7-21	Top 50 Crops and Sites for all Chemicals Used in Tehama County.....	7-30
7-22	Top 50 Pesticides Used on All Sites in Tehama County 2003.....	7-33

## FIGURES

7-1	DWR Stations
7-2	USGS Stations
7-3	Landslides – Mendocino National Forest
7-4	Pesticide Use
7-5	Irrigated Acres
7-6	303(d) Listed Streams and Rivers

## APPENDICES

7-1	Crane Mills Temperature Data
-----	------------------------------

## Section 8

# VEGETATION RESOURCES

SOURCES OF DATA.....	8-1
HISTORICAL CONTEXT .....	8-1
FOREST MANAGEMENT .....	8-4
EXISTING PLANT COMMUNITIES.....	8-5
Conifer-Dominated Habitats.....	8-7
Hardwood-Dominated Habitats.....	8-9
Shrub-Dominated Habitats.....	8-11
Herbaceous-Dominated Habitats.....	8-11
Agricultural-Crop-Dominated Habitats .....	8-12
Urban-Dominated Habitats .....	8-12
Barren Habitats.....	8-13
Water/Aquatic Habitats .....	8-13
SENSITIVE BOTANICAL RESOURCES.....	8-14
Great Valley Riparian and Related Habitats.....	8-14
Vernal Pool Habitats.....	8-14
Ultra-Mafic Habitats .....	8-16
THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES.....	8-16
INVASIVE PLANTS AND OTHER NOXIOUS WEEDS .....	8-19
Yellow Starthistle.....	8-23
Tamarisk .....	8-23
Arundo.....	8-26
CHANGES IN COMMUNITIES OVER TIME .....	8-27
Coniferous Forest Habitats .....	8-29
Chaparral Habitats.....	8-30
Riparian Habitats.....	8-30
Hardwood Habitats .....	8-31
Annual Grassland and Vernal Pool Habitats.....	8-31
DATA GAPS.....	8-34
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	8-34
Development .....	8-34
Grazing and Grasslands.....	8-34
Oak Woodlands.....	8-35
Vernal Pools .....	8-36
Agriculture .....	8-37
Fire Management.....	8-37
Riparian Communities .....	8-38
Invasive Plants.....	8-38
REFERENCES .....	8-38

## TABLES

8-1	Vegetation Types (CALVEG WHR) in the Tehama West Watershed.....	8-6
8-2	Agriculture Land Use in the Tehama West Watershed.....	8-13
8-3	Endangered, Threatened, and Rare Plant Species.....	8-17

8-4	Habitat Types and Number of Species in the Watershed.....	8-18
8-5	CDFFA and CALIPC List Categories for Invasive Plants and Noxious Weeds.....	8-20
8-6	CDFFA Noxious Weeds Found in Tehama West Watershed .....	8-21
8-7	CALIPC List of Invasive Pests.....	8-22
8-8	Significant Invasive Plants Known to Exist by Wildland Community.....	8-24
8-9	Climate Summary Vegetative Response .....	8-27
8-10	Average Conifer Stand Characteristics on Federal Land in the Thomes Creek Unit in 1913 and 1991 .....	8-29
8-11	Livestock Grazed in the Mendocino National Forest by Fiscal Year.....	8-29
8-12	Areas of Hardwood Change, Conifer Change, and Chaparral Change .....	8-30

## FIGURES

8-1	CALVEG - WHR
8-2	Wetlands, Vernal Pools and Critical Habitat
8-3	CNDDDB Occurrences of Rare Plants

## Section 9

### WILDLIFE RESOURCES

SOURCES OF DATA.....	9-1
HISTORICAL CONTEXT .....	9-1
HABITAT TYPES .....	9-3
Conifer-Dominated Habitats.....	9-4
Hardwood-Dominated Habitats.....	9-7
Chaparral-Dominated Habitats .....	9-11
Herbaceous-Dominated Habitats.....	9-12
Miscellaneous Habitats.....	9-13
THREATENED, ENDANGERED, AND RARE SPECIES.....	9-16
CRITICAL HABITAT .....	9-20
PREDATOR SPECIES .....	9-24
Black Bear .....	9-24
Mountain Lion.....	9-25
Coyote .....	9-26
HARVESTED SPECIES .....	9-27
Black-Tailed Deer.....	9-27
Wild Pig.....	9-29
Waterfowl.....	9-29
Other Harvested Species .....	9-29
EXOTIC SPECIES .....	9-30
Bullfrog .....	9-30
Wild Pig.....	9-30
Wild Turkey.....	9-31
Pheasant.....	9-32
New Zealand Mud Snail.....	9-32
DATA GAPS.....	9-33

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	9-33
Inventory of Habitats and Wildlife Species.....	9-34
Inventory and Control of Invasive Species.....	9-35
Institution of an Integrated Wildlife Data Management Program.....	9-35
Cooperative Management Programs.....	9-35
Land Use.....	9-35
REFERENCES.....	9-36

## TABLES

9-1 Special Status Wildlife Species Known to Exist in the Tehama West Watershed.....	9-17
9-2 Habitat Types Harboring Known Rare Species in the Tehama West Watershed.....	9-20
9-3 Special Status Wildlife Species w/the Potential to Exist in the Tehama West Watershed	9-21

## FIGURES

9-1 Vertebrate Wildlife Species Predicted to use Tehama West Habitats During Some Part of the Year	
9-2 Vertebrate Wildlife Species Predicted to Use and Gain High Forage, Hiding, and Reproductive Values From Tehama West Habitat	
9-3 CNDDDB Occurrences	
9-4 Summary of Mountain Lion Depredation Incidents: 1972-1999, California	
9-5 Generalized Representation of California Deer Numbers in Relation to Habitat Quality	
9-6 Deer Harvest Years	

## Section 10

### FISHERIES AND AQUATIC RESOURCES

SOURCES OF DATA.....	10-1
HISTORICAL CONTEXT.....	10-1
HABITAT TYPES.....	10-2
Sacramento River.....	10-2
Tehama West Tributary Streams.....	10-2
DESCRIPTION OF FISH SPECIES.....	10-5
Native Fish Species.....	10-5
Non-Native Fish Species.....	10-17
THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES.....	10-24
CRITICAL FISHERIES AND AQUATIC HABITAT.....	10-25
SPECIES OF SPECIAL CONCERN.....	10-27
POTENTIAL ADVERSE CONDITIONS.....	10-27
Water Quality.....	10-27
Entrainment.....	10-30
Water Temperature.....	10-31
Physical Barriers.....	10-32
Spawning Areas and Sediment.....	10-32
Loss of Riparian Habitat.....	10-33
Predation.....	10-34

AQUACULTURE .....	10-35
PLANTING HISTORY .....	10-35
CHANGE OVER TIME .....	10-35
DATA GAPS .....	10-36
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	10-36
Riparian Habitat Inventory and Restoration .....	10-37
Salmonid Spawning Surveys .....	10-37
Special Status Species Inventories .....	10-37
Investigations on Non-Natal Rearing .....	10-37
Water Quantity .....	10-37
REFERENCES .....	10-38

## TABLES

10-1	Native Fish Species in Upper Segments of Thomes, Elder and Red Bank Creeks, Tehama County .....	10-3
10-2	Native Fish Species in the Sacramento River in Tehama County and Population Status .....	10-6
10-3	Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Spawner Populations from Surveys Conducted from 1952 to 2003.....	10-13
10-4	Tehama West Streams with Potential for Non-Natal Chinook Rearing .....	10-13
10-5	Observations and Population Estimates of Juvenile Salmon During Winter-Spring-Time Studies on Intermittent Western Tehama County Streams .....	10-14
10-6	Federal or State Listed Species in the Tehama West Assessment Area.....	10-24
10-7	Tehama West Tributaries to the Sacramento River Proposed As Critical Habitat for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon.....	10-26
10-8	Tehama West Tributaries to the Sacramento River That Are Proposed as Critical habitat for Steelhead.....	10-27
10-9	Federal and State Species of Concern.....	10-27
10-10	Results of a Spatial Distribution Survey Pertaining to Metals and other Elements in Streambed Sediment.....	10-29

## FIGURES

10-1	Streams and Geographical Features
10-2	Life History Characteristics of Sacramento River Chinook Salmon At and Upstream of Red Bluff
10-3	Proposed Critical Habitat for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon in Western Tehama County
10-4	Proposed Critical Habitat for Steelhead in Western Tehama County

## APPENDICES

10-1	Fish Species and Number Captured by Rotary Screw Traps At Red Bluff Diversion Dam, Sacramento River, California from July 1994 through June 2000
10-2	Native Fish Species Observed in Tehama West Tributaries
10-3	Non-Native Fish Species Observed in Tehama West Tributaries

Section 11

**FIRE HISTORY, WILDLAND FUELS, AND FIRE MANAGEMENT**

INTRODUCTION .....	11-1
SOURCES OF DATA.....	11-1
FIRE HISTORY .....	11-1
Pre-European History .....	11-2
Post-European History.....	11-5
Wildfire History.....	11-8
FUELS, WEATHER, AND TOPOGRAPHY .....	11-8
Fuels .....	11-9
Weather .....	11-9
Topography .....	11-10
FIRE MANAGEMENT .....	11-10
WATERSHED VALUES AT RISK .....	11-11
Soil .....	11-11
Water.....	11-12
Air.....	11-13
Wildlife .....	11-14
Recreation.....	11-15
CDF FIRE ZONES.....	11-16
Zone 1 .....	11-16
Zone 2 .....	11-17
Zone 6 .....	11-17
Zone 9 .....	11-17
FEDERAL RESPONSE AREA WEST .....	11-17
LOCAL RESPONSIBILITY AREA .....	11-18
FIRE HAZARDS AND RANKINGS.....	11-18
Surface Fuels.....	11-18
Fire Threats .....	11-18
Condition Class.....	11-19
Fire Regime.....	11-19
FUEL PROTECTION .....	11-19
City of Red Bluff Fire Department.....	11-20
City of Corning Fire Department.....	11-20
Tehama County Fire Department.....	11-20
Gerber Fire Protection District.....	11-20
California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection .....	11-20
U.S. Forest Service.....	11-21
Bureau of Land Management.....	11-21
Interagency Approach to Firefighting in Tehama County .....	11-21
Communities at Risk.....	11-21
FUEL REDUCTION METHODS AND MAINTENANCE .....	11-22

Fire Management Plan.....	11-23
Shaded Fuel Breaks.....	11-23
Mechanical Treatments.....	11-24
Prescribed Fire.....	11-25
Wildland Fire Use.....	11-27
DATA GAPS.....	11-28
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	11-28
REFERENCES.....	11-29

## TABLES

11-1	Historical Fire-Return Intervals Sierra Nevada.....	11-2
11-2	Fire Return Intervals in Douglas-Fir Hardwood Forest.....	11-3
11-3	Acreage Burned Summary.....	11-7
11-4	Fires and Causes, 1993 - 2003.....	11-8
11-5	Flame Lengths Expected in Mid-Summer by Fuel Model.....	11-10
11-6	Effectiveness of Fire Suppression for Fires of Various Intensity.....	11-11
11-7	Health Effects Based on Visibility.....	11-14
11-8	Characteristics of CDF Zones.....	11-16
11-9	Summary of Fire Facilities within Western Tehama County.....	11-20
11-10	Officially Recognized Communities at Risk within the Tehama West Watershed.....	11-22
11-11	CDF Vegetation Management Program Project Data 1979 to 2005.....	11-27

## FIGURES

11-1	Fire Hazard Severity Zones
11-2	Historical Fire Boundaries
11-3	Recent Fire Boundaries (1990-2003)
11-4	Fuel Ranks
11-5	Fire Zones and Battalion Boundaries
11-6	Surface Fuels
11-7	CDF Fire Threat
11-8	CDF Condition Class
11-9	CDF Fire Regime
11-10	Wildland/Urban Interface Areas